

Contents

Part I Field Demonstration of the Surfactant/Foam Process for Remediation of a Heterogeneous Aquifer Contaminated with DNAPL	3
Executive Summary	3
Chapter 1 Description of Surfactant/Foam Process and Surfactant-Enhanced Aquifer Remediation	7
Chapter 2 Surfactant/Foam Process Development	11
2.1 Introduction to Surfactant Properties and Phase Behavior	11
2.1.1 Selection of Sodium Dihexyl Sulfosuccinate	12
2.1.2 Critical Micelle Concentration	13
2.1.3 Adverse Phase Formation	13
2.1.4 Salinity Scans	14
2.1.5 The Effect of Ca ²⁺ and Alcohol on Phase Behavior and Foaming	15
2.1.6 Effect of DNAPL on Foam	16
2.1.7 Biodegradation Studies	17
2.2 Column Tests	17
2.2.1 Foam Propagation	17
2.2.2 Slug Size	18
2.2.3 Flow Rate	18
2.2.4 Additional Parameters	19
2.2.5 Vertical and Heterogeneous Column Experiments	19
2.3 Small 2-D Model Configuration and Characterization	20
2.3.1 Pressure-Regulated Foam Generation	21
2.3.2 Surfactant/Foam Flood vs. Surfactant Flood	22
2.4 Design and Modification of UT Layered 2-D Sand Tank	23
2.4.1 Framing Details	23
2.4.2 End-piece Details	24
2.4.3 Assembly and Leak-Testing of the Tank	25
2.4.4 Packing and Initial Testing of the Tank	25
2.4.5 Experimental Problems and Corrections Applied	26
2.4.6 Performance in the UT Tank	27
2.5 Summary of Findings from Surfactant/Foam Development Experiments	27
Chapter 3 Surfactant/Foam Field Site Description	29
3.1 Contamination at Site	29
3.2 Hydrogeology of Site	33
3.3 Aquiclude Properties and Structure	35
Chapter 4 Field Demonstration Design	39
4.1 Site Characterization	39
4.1.1 Stratigraphy Observed During Drilling Programs	44
4.1.2 Contaminant Distribution Found in Drilling Programs	45
4.1.3 Permeability Results	51
4.1.4 DNAPL Saturation and Permeability Distribution	55
4.2 Test Site Pattern and Instrumentation	56
4.2.1 Design of Injection and Extraction Wells	57
4.2.2 Monitoring Well Design	57

4.2.3	Surveying and Well Elevations	60
4.2.4	Well Development	61
4.2.5	Slug Tests	61
4.2.6	Extraction/Injection Test	62
4.2.7	Conservative Tracer Test	63
4.2.8	Single Well Foam Generation Test	65
4.3	Characterization of NAPL Volume Using Partitioning Interwell Tracer Tests	68
4.3.1	Partitioning Tracer Column Studies	68
4.3.2	Simulations for Partitioning Tracer Test Design	70
4.3.3	Tracer Selection	73
4.3.4	Summary of Partitioning Tracer Test Design	73
4.4	Numerical Modeling of the Surfactant/Foam Process Using UTCHEM	74
4.4.1	Foam Modification	75
4.4.2	Simulation Model	76
4.4.2.1	Surfactant Phase Behavior	76
4.4.2.2	Liquid Properties	77
4.4.2.3	Gas/Foam Properties	77
4.4.2.4	Relative Permeability	78
4.4.2.5	Aquifer Properties	79
4.4.2.6	Permeability and DNAPL Distribution	80
4.4.3	Computational Method	83
4.5	Final Field Demonstration Design	84
4.5.1	Surfactant Solution Composition	84
4.5.2	Pattern Volumes and Rates	84
4.5.3	Air Injection	85
4.5.4	Monitoring Wells	85
4.5.5	PITTs and Borings	85
4.5.6	Summary of Design of Surfactant/Foam Demonstration	86
Chapter 5	Field Operations	87
5.1	Field Demonstration Timeline	87
5.2	Surface Facilities	88
5.3	Injection System	90
5.3.1	Injectate Preparation	91
5.3.2	Injection Well Configuration	91
5.3.3	Air Injection	92
5.4	Extraction System	92
5.5	Effluent Sediment Control System	93
Chapter 6	Field Monitoring, Sampling, and Analytical Methods	95
6.1	Aquifer Material Sample Collection	95
6.2	Injection System	96
6.2.1	Sampling of Mixing Tanks	96
6.2.2	Flow Rate and Pressure Monitoring	96
6.3	Liquid Level Monitoring	96
6.4	Extraction System	96
6.4.1	Extraction Flow Rate Monitoring	96
6.4.2	Extraction Well Sampling	97
6.6	Multilevel Monitoring Well Sampling	97
6.7	PITT Analysis	98
6.8	Measurement of Nonpartitioning Tracers	99

6.9 Measurement of Cations	99
6.10 Measurement of Surfactant in Extraction Fluids	100
6.11 Analysis of DNAPL.....	100
6.11.1 Hill DNAPL Composition	100
6.11.2 Measurement of DNAPL Constituent Concentration in Surfactant Solutions	100
6.11.3 Analysis of DNAPL in Soil Cores	101
6.12 Measurement of Tracer Alcohols.....	101
Chapter 7 Results	103
7.1 Injected Surfactant and Electrolyte.....	103
7.2 Pressure and Liquid Level Response to Air Injection	104
7.3 Foam Propagation and Breakthrough	108
7.4 Performance of Effluent Treatment System	109
7.5 DNAPL Estimation by Partitioning Tracers.....	112
7.5.1 Approach to Data Analysis.....	112
7.5.2 Pre-flood Extraction Well Tracer Data Analysis	112
7.5.3 Error Analysis	115
7.5.4 Post-Surfactant/Foam Flood PITT Analysis Results.....	117
7.5.5 Summary of PITT Results	120
7.6 Recovery of Injected Chemicals.....	120
7.7 DNAPL Produced by Extraction and Monitoring Wells.....	122
7.8 Post-Surfactant/Foam Flood Subsurface Cores.....	125
7.8.1 DNAPL in Post-flood Subsurface Cores	128
7.8.2 Cation Exchange Capacity of Post-Surfactant/Foam Flood Subsurface Cores	129
7.9 Observations on Produced Fluids	130
7.9.1 Composition of Effluent in Drum.....	132
7.9.2 Post-flood DNAPL Compositions at Hydraulic Control Well HC-2.....	132
7.10 Mass Balances	134
7.10.1 Surfactant Mass Balance.....	134
7.10.2 Sodium Chloride Mass Balance	135
7.10.3 DNAPL Mass Balance.....	135
Chapter 8 Discussion	137
8.1 Comparison with UTCHEM Model Simulations.....	137
8.1.1 PITT Simulation.....	145
8.1.2 Surfactant-Only Simulation	146
8.1.3 DNAPL Encroachment	147
8.1.4 Important Parameters	147
8.2 Surfactant Phase Behavior.....	148
8.3 Mobility Control with Foam.....	152
8.4 Effect of Gravity	153
8.5 Ion Exchange	155
8.6 DNAPL Removal	159
8.6.1 Recovery of DNAPL by Wells	159
8.6.2 Aquitard Structure.....	159
8.6.3 DNAPL in Post-Treatment Subsurface Cores.....	160
8.6.4 Summary	160
Chapter 9 Conclusions.....	161
Chapter 10 Part I References	163

Part II Field Demonstration of Single-phase Microemulsions for Aquifer Remediation	169
Executive Summary	169
Chapter 11 Introduction	171
11.1 Purpose	171
11.2 Project Overview	171
Chapter 12 Single-Phase SPME <i>In Situ</i> Flushing	173
12.1 <i>In Situ</i> Flushing: Background	173
12.2 Description of SPME Technology	174
Chapter 13 Phase I: Laboratory Investigation	177
13.1 Characteristics of the OU1 NAPL	177
13.2 Surfactant Selection	177
13.2.1 Surfactant Solubility and Viscosity	177
13.2.2 NAPL Solubilization by Precursors	178
13.3 Column Tests	181
13.3.1 Glass Bead Column Tests	182
13.3.2 Contaminated Soil Column Tests	182
13.3.3 Two-Dimensional Flow Chamber Test	183
13.4 Summary	186
Chapter 14 Phase II: Field Investigation	187
14.1 Pre-flushing Site Characterization	187
14.1.1 Test Cell Design and Instrumentation	187
14.1.2 Hydrodynamics Characterization	189
14.1.3 NAPL Saturation and Morphology	190
14.1.3.1 Soil Cores	190
14.1.3.2 Partitioning Tracers	190
14.1.3.3 Interfacial Tracers	192
14.2 Microemulsion Flushing	193
14.2.1 Materials and Methods	193
14.2.2 Extraction Well Results	196
14.2.2.1 SPME Hydrodynamic Consideration	197
14.2.2.2 Target Analyte Breakthrough Curves	197
14.2.2.3 NAPL Microemulsification	199
14.2.2.4 Elution of Precursor Solution	200
14.2.3 Multilevel Sampler Results	201
14.2.4 Mass Transfer Limitations and Modeling	203
14.3 Measures of Performance Effectiveness	203
14.3.1 Soil Core Data	203
14.3.2 Partitioning Tracers	205
14.3.3 Extraction Well Mass Balance	206
14.3.4 In-line Analysis	206
14.4 Waste Management and Minimization	207
Chapter 15 Conclusions	211
Chapter 16 Part II References	213

Part III Design and Evaluation of Surfactant/Foam and Single-Phase Microemulsion Technologies.....	217
Part IIIA Design and Evaluation of a Full-Scale Implementation of the Surfactant/Foam Process	219
Executive Summary	219
Summary of Surfactant/Foam Demonstration.....	220
Summary of Engineering Design and Cost Estimate	221
Summary of Potential Performance and Applicability	222
Chapter 17 Summary of Technology Demonstration.....	225
17.1 Introduction	225
17.2 Summary of Laboratory Tests and Treatability Studies.....	225
17.3 Test Site Description.....	226
17.4 Procedures and Field Implementation	230
17.5 Summary of Results, Mass Balance, and Conclusions.....	234
17.5.1 Tracer Tests	234
17.5.2 Air Injection and Response	234
17.5.3 Monitoring Well Foam Formation.....	236
17.5.4 Produced Fluids Analysis	237
17.5.5 Monitoring Well Fluid Analysis	241
17.5.6 Soil Boring Data	242
17.5.7 Partitioning Interwell Tracer Test Data	244
17.6 Conclusions from the Results of the Surfactant/Foam Field Test	244
17.6.1 Contaminant Mass Removal.....	244
17.6.2 Benefits of Foam.....	245
Chapter 18 Measurement Procedures.....	247
Chapter 19 Engineering Design.....	249
19.1 Base Case	249
19.1.1 Site Setting.....	252
19.1.2 Remedial Objective.....	252
19.1.3 Upfront Activities.....	252
19.1.4 Chemical System and Injection Sequence	253
19.1.5 Injection/Recovery Approach	253
19.1.6 Chemical Mix System.....	254
19.1.7 Produced Fluids Handling	254
19.1.8 Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring.....	255
19.1.9 Miscellaneous Cost Components.....	257
19.2 Optimized Case	257
Chapter 20 Cost and Economic Analysis	259
20.1 Most Significant Cost Components	259
20.2 Optimum Costs	261
20.3 Other Areas of Sensitivity.....	261
20.4 Comparison with the Costs for Other Technologies	263
Chapter 21 Performance and Potential Application	265
21.1 Summary of Potential Performance.....	265
21.2 Site Characteristics Affecting Applicability, Performance, and Cost	266

21.3 Design and Operating Parameters Affecting Performance, Applicability, and Cost.....	266
21.4 Material Handling Requirements.....	266
21.5 Regulatory Requirements for Performance and Compliance Criteria	266
Chapter 22 Part IIIA References	273
Part IIIB Design and Evaluation of a Full-Scale Implementation	
of the Single-Phase Microemulsion Process.....	275
Executive Summary	275
Introduction	275
Summary of SPME Field Demonstration	276
Summary of Engineering Design and Cost Estimate	276
Summary of Potential Performance and Applicability	278
Chapter 23 Summary of Technology Demonstration.....	279
23.1 Introduction	279
23.2 Summary of Laboratory Tests and Treatability Studies.....	279
23.3 Test Site Description	280
23.4 Procedures and Field Implementation	281
23.5 Summary of Results, Mass Balance, and Conclusions.....	284
23.5.1 Hydraulic Performance	284
23.5.2 Produced Fluids Analysis	285
23.5.3 Multilevel Sampler Data.....	287
23.5.4 Soil Boring Data	287
23.5.5 Partitioning Tracer and Interfacial Tracer Data.....	289
23.5.6 Conclusion for the Results of the SPME Field Test.....	290
Chapter 24 Measurement Procedures.....	293
Chapter 25 Engineering Design.....	295
25.1 Base Case	295
25.1.1 Site Setting	298
25.1.2 Remedial Objective.....	298
25.1.3 Up-front Activities	298
25.1.4 Chemical System and Injection Sequence	299
25.1.5 Injection/Recovery Approach	299
25.1.6 Chemical Mix System.....	300
25.1.7 Produced Fluids Handling	300
25.1.8 Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring.....	301
25.1.9 Miscellaneous Cost Components.....	303
25.2 Optimized Case	303
Chapter 26 Cost and Economic Analysis	305
26.1 Most Significant Cost Components	305
26.2 Optimum Costs	307
26.3 Other Areas of Sensitivity.....	309
26.4 Comparison with Costs for Other Technologies	309
Chapter 27 Performance and Potential Application	313
27.1 Summary of Potential Performance.....	313
27.2 Site Characteristics Affecting Performance, Applicability, and Cost	314

27.3 Design and Operating Parameters Affecting Performance, Applicability, and Cost	314
27.4 Materials Handling Requirements	314
27.5 Regulatory Requirements for Performance and Compliance Criteria	314
Chapter 28 Part IIIB References.....	321
Appendix 1 Analytical Procedures Used in the Demonstration of the Surfactant/Foam Process for Aquifer Remediation	323
A1.1 The Potentiometric Titration of Halide Ions	323
A1.2 The Potentiometric Titration of Total Calcium and Magnesium, and Calcium Only in Solution.....	326
A1.3 The Potentiometric Titration of Anionic Detergents.....	329
A1.4 DNAPL Analysis.....	334
A1.4.1 Analysis of DNAPL in Soil Cores.....	334
A1.4.2 Hill DNAPL Composition.....	338
A1.4.3 Measurement of DNAPL Constituent Concentration in Solutions	339
A1.5 Analysis of Tracer Alcohols	342
Appendix 2 Analytical Procedures Used in the SPME Field Demonstration	347
A2.1 Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Analysis of Alcohols Used as Partitioning Tracers.....	347
A2.2 Standard Operating Procedure for Analysis of Pentanol in Aqueous Surfactant Solutions Used with SPME Flushing Technology Demonstration	350
A2.3 Standard Operating Procedure for Analysis of Surfactant in Aqueous Solutions Used With SPME Flushing Technology Demonstration	352
References	355
A2.4 Standard Operating Procedure for Analysis of Analytes with SPME Flushing.....	355
A2.5 Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Analysis of Target Analytes in Groundwater Samples.....	358
Appendix 3 SPME Multilevel Sampler Data	363
Appendix 4 Surfactant/Foam Scale-Up Drawings.....	367
Appendix 5 Surfactant/Foam Scale-Up Mass Balance and Design Spreadsheets	383
Appendix 6 Surfactant/Foam Scale-Up Cost Estimates.....	407
Appendix 7 SPME Scale-Up Drawings.....	443
Appendix 8 SPME Scale-Up Drawings Mass Balance and Design Spreadsheets.....	465
Appendix 9 SPME Scale-Up Drawings Cost Estimates.....	491